		1
1	TAXPAYER ADVOCATE SERVICE (TAS)	
2	PUBLIC FORUM	
3		
4	Monday, April 4, 2016	
5		
6	5:30 p.m.	
7	Henderson County King Street Meeting Room	
8	100 North King Street	
9	Hendersonville, North Carolina	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

	2
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	HOSTS:
4	Nina E. Olson, National Taxpayer Advocate, Taxpayer
5	Advocate Service, Washington, DC
6	
7	Rep. Mark Meadows, Member of Congress,
8	11th Congressional District, North Carolina
9	
10	Panelist
11	Arthur Bartlett, Program Director/Attorney, LITC
12	Legal Services of Southern Piedmont,
13	Charlotte, NC
14	
15	Rollin J. Groseclose, CPA, CGMA, Shareholder, Johnson
16	Price Sprinkle PA,
17	Asheville, NC
18	
19	Bob Smith, Volunteer Coordinator, AARP Tax
20	Aide - Henderson County,
21	Hendersonville, NC
22	

1	Robert Wall, Esq. Attorney, Member, Spilman
2	Thomas & Battle, PLC,
3	Winston-Salem, NC.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

4

1	OPENING REMARKS
2	MS. OLSON: Well, we are a minute early, so
3	I'm going to break the tradition and start
4	early. My name is Nina Olson and I'm the
5	National Taxpayer Advocate. Can you all
6	hear me okay? Good. I'm delighted to be
7	here in North Carolina.
8	Before I start sort of a more formal
9	welcome, I wanted to tell you that I lived
10	in North Carolina for 18 years, between
11	1975 and 1993. If you think about
12	basketball, you can think about what those
13	years were. And so this evening is a very
14	important evening. But I also have to tell
15	you that my grandfather taught at NC
16	State. And my father went to Duke. I
17	lived in Chapel Hill. So during those
18	years I rooted for Wake Forest. It was
19	the only safe team. It made everyone
20	angry at me. That was safer than making
21	my father or my grandfather angry at me, so
22	there you go.

1	So this is a public forum that we are
2	having about the taxpayer needs, what
3	taxpayers need in order to comply with the tax
4	laws, also, what practitioners need in order
5	to help taxpayers comply with the tax laws.
6	What precipitated this was the work that
7	the IRS has been doing on its future state
8	vision, which I covered in my annual report
9	to Congress this year, and raised concerns
10	and identified it as a number one most
11	serious problem for taxpayers, not so much
12	that anything was a problem about the future
13	state vision today, because it is still a
14	future state vision, but I had some concerns
15	about how things were going, what they were
16	conceiving of. Even more importantly, there
17	was a lack of communication about specifics
18	both with Congress, but also with members of
19	the taxpayers and their representatives and
20	the preparers.
21	So I announced in my report that I
2.2	would like to go around and hold public

1 forums in communities to hear directly from 2 the taxpayers and the representatives. And in conjunction with members of Congress. 3 Before the report was even written, I mentioned it to Chairman Meadows. He said 5 "sign me up." That is a direct quote. So 6 7 now you have me here in Hendersonville. I'm thrilled to be here. 8 9 I'm going to turn it over to Chairman 10 Meadows. I will introduce the panel and sort of lay out some of the -- like the 11 12 order of the evening. 13 REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: Thank you so 14 much, Nina. I'm going to keep my remarks 15 very brief. I want to start off by saying 16 thank you for showing up tonight. Thank you 17 for participating. Generally what happens is when you have a public forum, you have 18 only a few people that come, and they have 19 20 very determined ideas on either being for 21 or against whatever you're going to talk 22 about.

1	And yet, this particular forum was one
2	that Nina had shared with me many months
3	ago in terms of wanting to get not only
4	input, but really helping Congress, the IRS
5	more closely together to serve the
6	taxpayers.
7	When you talk about the IRS, the only
8	person that actually has lower approval
9	ratings than the IRS would be a member of
10	Congress. So as I'm here tonight to say
11	thank you and participate, I think the
12	other aspect that is critically important
13	is for you as you hear from the experts
14	and really we have some great experts that
15	are here to jot down a note or two or
16	some concerns or some of the things you
17	just hear. I know for me, I had someone
18	even earlier today say, "well, you know,
19	what about the service? I'm having this
20	person. They're having to go all the way to
21	Greenville, South Carolina to get an answer
22	on X." So part of it is trying to make

1	sure that we not only fund the IRS
2	appropriately, but that we are focused in
3	terms of what those needs might be.
4	Nina is very kind to compliment
5	everyone else. So I want to share, in
6	earnest, my appreciation not only for you
7	coming here, but for your work.
8	A lot of you may have heard of Nina and
9	her work as a taxpayer advocate. I was
10	really unfamiliar with the role in terms of
11	when you think of the IRS, you think of it
12	as this one entity, and yet, Nina, really,
13	her responsibility is to make sure that she
14	is indeed an advocate, whether that is for
15	a preparer or for individuals in trying to
16	work through the maze of a tax code, and
17	that sometimes can be very, let's say,
18	voluminous. We will use that word instead
19	of anything else. But also, Nina is one
20	that you can trust who she is and what she
21	says. And in Washington, D.C. that is very
22	rare. When Nina tells you something she is

1	always willing to not only express her
2	opinion but to back it up and to follow up.
3	And that is one of the reasons why we are
4	here tonight. It was a follow up from a
5	conversation we had in my office in
6	Washington, D.C. And when she signed us up,
7	she really did indeed do that. Thank you
8	for taking all this down. Your comments
9	tonight, just so you will know, will
10	ultimately be put out on the web and for
11	others to be able to look and learn from
12	your experiences. So your questions or
13	comments are critical. But I want to thank
14	you for being here. Nina, thank you. And I
15	will turn it back over to you.
16	MS. OLSON: What we are going to start
17	with is we have a panel of four folks who
18	are going to they made some prepared
19	remarks. Then I will turn it over to the
20	Chairman to ask some ques-tions, and then I
21	will have some ques-ions. Then we want to
22	open up it up to the floor. I think there

1	is a micro-
2	phone that is working right there. So we
3	can make hear everyone.
4	And again, the focus of this is, you
5	know, not just about what the IRS needs to
6	do in the future, but what are you
7	experiencing today, and what are the
8	strengths and weaknesses of what you're
9	experiencing, and any and all
10	recommendations are welcome and
11	observations.
12	I will also let you know that there
13	are a few members of my Greensboro office,
14	I have an office in Greensboro that serves
15	North Carolina. And there are a few folks
16	out there in the front hallway. So if
17	anyone has particular cases that you
18	haven't been able to get resolved, we won't
19	be able to do the resolution here tonight,
20	but we can take your basic information and
21	call you back tomorrow or the next day and
22	open a case and work with you if you

1	haven't been able to get things resolved
2	through the normal channels. We wanted to
3	offer that service to you.
4	I think we'll start with Arthur.
5	Arthur Bartlett is the Low Income Taxpayer
6	Clinic Director at Legal Services in
7	Southern Piedmont. He has a degree in
8	political science and history from the
9	University of Vermont and a J.D. from the
10	University of Michigan Law School. And
11	prior to joining the legal services of
12	Southern Piedmont, which serves this area,
13	and that is particularly why we wanted
14	Arthur here, he worked at private law firms
15	in Buffalo, New York and Charlotte
16	specializing in ERISA and executive
17	compensation. And now he also, in the
18	legal services entity, supervises work on
19	the elderly and HIV/AIDS legal assistance
20	project. So he has a broad scope. But he
21	is the director of the Low Income Taxpayer
22	Clinic. Arthur.

1	MR. BARTLETT: It's good to be
2	here. I'm happy you invited me to come down
3	and speak. I'm the director of the Low
4	Income Taxpayer Clinic at Legal Services.
5	I have been the director for the past nine
6	years of the program.
7	Our program has been in existence
8	since 2002. Since that time we've worked
9	all sorts of cases at our clinic,
10	everything from simple balance due cases to
11	more complicated audits and Tax Court
12	representation. The tax clinic's outreach
13	and educational activities complement this
14	work by providing valuable information to
15	low income taxpayers and people for whom
16	English is a second language about their
17	rights and responsibilities as taxpayers.
18	We seek to assist taxpayers trying to
19	navigate our complicated tax system,
20	whether they already have a tax problem or
21	are simply trying to understand how to
22	avoid creating one.

1	Our low income taxpayer clinic
2	provides a full range of controversy
3	services. We prepare documents such as Tax
4	Court petitions, formal protests and offers
5	in compromise, as well as requests for
6	collection due process hearings, audit
7	reconsiderations, payment plans, hardship
8	relief, worker misclassification
9	determinations, and innocent spouse and
10	injured spouse relief.
11	We see taxpayers both pre- and post-
12	assessment. They are being audited or are
13	in collections. In the vast majority of
14	cases they are confused. They don't often
15	understand the notices they received, nor
16	how to deal with them.
17	For example, many taxpayers think that
18	they owe the IRS money even before an
19	additional assessment is made. They often
20	don't understand that audit notices refer
21	to proposed changes to their original tax
22	returns and proposed liabilities. They are

14

1 often frustrated because they have tried 2 unsuccessfully to resolve their issues on their own by calling the IRS, sending in 3 information, and in some cases going to the 4 local IRS service center. 5 They don't understand why they are 6 7 getting mail from the IRS or why they owe. They don't know how to fix it. But mostly 8 9 they don't understand their rights as 10 taxpayers. All of this leaves them feeling 11 that our tax system is too complicated 12 and unfair. 13 We serve low income taxpayers. These 14 taxpayers often lack basic reading skills, 15 suffer from mental or physical impairments 16 or simply lack the resources necessary to 17 hire a tax professional to assist them in navigating our complicated tax system. 18 19 These factors, as well as transportation issues, under or unemployment, domestic 20 21 abuse, and lack of access to information 22 about their situation make it even more

1	difficult for our clients to effectively
2	deal with their tax issues on their own.
3	All of these factors can make even
4	corresponding with the IRS difficult for
5	many taxpayers. With these things in mind,
6	the level of collaboration we expect from a
7	taxpayer who comes to us for assistance
8	varies with their situation. We let them
9	know upfront that all our services are
10	provided at no cost to them. So paying us
11	is never a concern for our clients.
12	To better assist taxpayers, that are
13	low income our low income tax clinic
14	needs greater visibility to taxpayers
15	when their journey within the IRS begins.
16	It is much easier to prevent a tax
17	liability before it arises rather than
18	eliminate one after the fact through
19	audit reconsideration or otherwise.
20	We find that audit reconsiderations
21	are now taking about a year to complete.
22	This was not always the case, and it

1	seems likely that fewer IRS employees are
2	now working these requests.
3	A whole year is far too long to wait
4	in order to correct a tax liability that
5	we have determined should not exist.
6	Even if we determine that a taxpayer will
7	owe, having the client come to us for
8	assistance before an assessment is made
9	gives us and the taxpayer time to plan
10	for how to deal with their liability.
11	The IRS could assist us in reaching
12	taxpayers sooner by modifying its
13	correspondence to prominently display
14	information about taxpayer rights and
15	available resources, like the taxpayer
16	advocate service and low income taxpayer
17	clinics. In most instances this would
18	certainly lead to fewer IRS resources
19	being used over time to resolve the
20	taxpayer's issues.
21	The IRS should also do a better job
22	communicating with taxnavers Greater use

1	of plain English in the IRS correspondence
2	would help us resolve some confusion that
3	many taxpayers experience. This, in turn,
4	would allow more taxpayers to resolve
5	their issues on their own.
6	Taxpayers in our low income taxpayer
7	clinic also need more help from local IRS
8	service centers. In the past we were
9	able to send taxpayers to IRS service
10	centers to get back tax returns prepared,
11	pick up account transcripts and obtain
12	other information. Now, because of deep
13	cuts to service much of this is no longer
14	possible or it still available is greatly
15	restricted and more difficult to access.
16	It is harder today to deal with the
17	IRS than it was when I started
18	representing taxpayers nine years ago.
19	Since 2010 the IRS has generally become
20	worse at timely answering phone calls,
21	and every call to the Practitioner
22	Priority Service or Collections is more

1	of a game of chance. If you're lucky you
2	get someone who is well trained and
3	responsive. And if you're not, you're
4	left to slog through the call or try
5	again later.
6	In addition, the IRS is failing to
7	timely reply to mail. We are now seeing
8	many more, "we need additional time
9	letters" from all parts of the IRS.
10	These issues must, at least to some
11	extent, be the result of service cuts.
12	More people and better training are the
13	keys to fixing these issues, and they
14	would go a long way to helping us
15	expeditiously resolve our cases.
16	A current example of our
17	difficulties in dealing with the IRS
18	comes from the IRS's offer in compromise
19	unit. We now find that many offer in
20	compromise reviewers are failing to send
21	us a letter with their contact
22	information when they begin reviewing our

1	client's offer in compromise. This is
2	important because the reviewers are then
3	calling us and leaving us voice mail
4	messages with only their names and phone
5	numbers. Without the taxpayer's name or
6	reviewer's fax number or address, our
7	only option to communicate with the
8	reviewer is to call them back and if they
9	don't answer, leave them a voice mail
LO	message with our contact information.
L1	There is no way for us to follow up on
L2	our message with a fax or letter. This
L3	situation is made even more frustrating
L 4	when the reviewer fails to call us back
L 5	and then sends us a letter without their
L 6	fax number, threatening to return rather
L 7	than reject our client's offer in
L8	compromise unless we contact them within
L 9	12 days of the date of letter. At least
20	we know that they received our call and
21	at least we know which client the
22	reviewer is contacting us about, but by

1	the time we receive their letter we
2	typically only have a few days to
3	respond. And then we are limited to
4	either calling them or sending them a
5	letter.
6	Given the considerable amount of
7	effort and time we spend in preparing and
8	waiting to hear about our offer in
9	compromise submissions, the threat of
LO	having one returned to us in this manner,
L1	which does not afford us appeal rights,
L2	is maddening. The future vision of the
L3	IRS assumes that taxpayers have access to
L 4	technology and will be able to navigate
15	the IRS's online system to resolve their
L 6	tax issues. We know from representing
L 7	vulnerable populations, such as the poor,
L 8	disabled and elderly, in dealing with our
L 9	current tax system that they will have no
20	easier time navigating some new online
21	system. There will still be barriers
22	created by poor literacy, mental and

1	physical impairments in the complicated
2	nature of our tax system, as well as new
3	ones, such as access to technology and
4	understanding how to use it. Given this,
5	the IRS's future state vision could make
6	the tax issues of low income and
7	otherwise vulnerable taxpayers worse if
8	they use the online system without fully
9	appreciating what they are agreeing to
10	and what rights they may be foregoing.
11	In addition, given the issues the
12	IRS has in replying to mail, I do not
13	have much confidence that electronic
14	communications will be acted upon in a
15	timely manner either. Thank you.
16	MS. OLSON: Thank you. All
17	right. So our next speaker is going to
18	be Rollin Groseclose. Rollin is a
19	shareholder at Johnson, Price, Sprinkle
20	Public Association, right?
21	MR. GROSECLOSE: Professional.
2.2	MS. OLSON: Professional

1	Association, a leading accounting firm in
2	Western North Carolina. Rollin has
3	significant expertise in the areas of tax
4	and business advisory services and focuses
5	his energy on family and closely-held
6	businesses and their owners. Industry
7	concentrations include manufacturing and
8	distribution, construction and real estate
9	development and hospitality. His
10	experience is also extensive in purchasing
11	marketing cooperatives, as well as his
12	clients that have multistate and
13	international activity.
14	Rollin.
15	MR. GROSECLOSE:
16	Thank you. I appreciate the opportunity to
17	be here. We have been able to work the
18	Taxpayer Advocate Office in the past. As
19	Congressman Meadows said, they truly do act
20	as an advocate for taxpayers.
21	Typically when we get to the end of
22	our rope, or hopefully before, then we will

1	reach out to them either in Greensboro or
2	even calling the national office and try to
3	get some attention on an issue. It has
4	been very helpful. Our experience has been
5	that when we involve the Taxpayer Advocate,
6	the IRS becomes more responsive and
7	suddenly
8	we start getting the help we need.
9	So as Nina mentioned, we work
10	primarily with closely-held businesses,
11	family- owned businesses, business owners.
12	We are a local firm based in Asheville. We
13	represent what is here in Western North
14	Carolina. So family owned-businesses,
15	closely-held businesses, and business
16	owners. We also Work with a lot of
17	individuals, a lot of taxpayers.
18	I'd say as a general rule, that when
19	someone receives a letter from the IRS,
20	they don't want to deal with it. They want
21	us to deal with it. I would say that is
22	the case probably 90 percent of the time

1	The IRS is scary to them. They don't want
2	to get into something that they might not
3	understand. They feel like they might
4	misstep somewhere along the way. Doesn't
5	matter if it's a few hundred dollars or
6	thousands of dollars. They generally don't
7	want to deal with it. We cater to our
8	clients that way. We want to help them
9	out. We want them to feel comfortable so
10	we take care of it.
11	I will say that we also often have to
12	make an educated judgment of whether or not
13	we think the issue can be resolved very
14	quickly. Sometimes if it is a matter of a
15	couple hundred dollars, a few hundred
16	dollars, we will actually tell our clients
17	we can try to help you through this, but
18	you might be better off just paying the
19	couple hundred dollars.
20	That threshold kind of varies from
21	one person to the next, but a lot of times
22	the amount of time and energy and effort

1	it will take to get through is not
2	worthwhile. So we just recommend, if you
3	want this to go away, they are telling us
4	what it takes to go away, just pay the
5	\$200 or whatever that might be, and that
6	will probably be a lot less hassle and
7	headaches.
8	That is one thing we see. As a
9	general rule we get copies of notices
10	from our clients. They rarely will try
11	to deal with those themselves. Income tax
12	issues, sometimes a payroll tax issue.
13	We will typically do not work with
14	payroll matters very much.
15	Our first choice of action,
16	typically, if it is fairly
17	straightforward we can compare numbers
18	and see, okay, yeah, there was a mistake,
19	something was missing. Maybe we didn't
20	have certain information when preparing
21	the tax return. Whatever it might be. We
22	would probably check or write a letter.

1	We will get it resolved, but a lot of
2	times we need to get on the phone.
3	So one concern that I have, I think
4	our office has in general with the
5	future state is really looking towards
6	heavy reliance on electronics,
7	technology, to be able to tell us the
8	information that we need. Our experience
9	has been that tells us half the story.
LO	It tells us what the IRS thinks is going
L1	on or what's in their system that might
L2	be causing a problem. But it doesn't
L3	actually resolve everything. We have had
L 4	access to online services in the past,
L 5	and it gives us some information about
L 6	what is going on, why the IRS is sending
L 7	this notice, what might have triggered
L8	it, that we can maybe troubleshoot and
L 9	figure out here is what is missing, or
20	here's what they don't have. But the
21	rest of the story typically takes a phone
22	call.

1	As Arthur mentioned, Practitioner
2	Priority Service is an access point that
3	practitioners have to a group of folks to
4	deal specifically with practitioners.
5	That is helpful to a degree, when you can
6	get through. I've heard the stats the
7	last year or so have been about 40 to 50
8	percent of the time you can expect to
9	actually have your phone call answered.
10	The rest of the time you get their lovely
11	hold music and it lasts 45 minutes to an
12	hour. Then you hope someone immediately
13	asks your name and phone number so they
14	can call you back if disconnected. If
15	not, we generally offer that up.
16	So our clients have a tough time
17	understanding why it is so difficult to
18	resolve something. Why does it take so
19	long. I will say probably one of the more
20	recent challenges we've had, aside from
21	just the routine notices, is identity
22	theft. We have seen a lot more identity

1	theft, a lot more clients in general being
2	affected. We see the news taxpayers
3	regularly being the victim of fraud, where
4	someone has captured some or enough of
5	their information and been able to file a
6	tax return before we could file a tax
7	return, say, in January or February and
8	submit fraudulent information and get
9	refunds.
10	It typically doesn't actually, affect
11	the taxpayer's information, but it makes it
12	very hard to submit an accurate tax return
13	later on. We have a number of cases right
14	now that are going on six and eight months
15	where we are still waiting for the IRS to
16	accept the 2015 tax return, because there
17	was a fraudulent return filed.
18	Hearing stories like the IRS's own
19	system getting hacked and compromised, some
20	of their security systems being compromised
21	leads to greater concern on our part when
22	we try to help taxpayers work through those

1	issues.
2	Then we also have people besides the
3	IRS attempting to behave like the IRS
4	making phone calls, but they have also been
5	sending letters. They have stolen the IRS,
6	Treasury Department logos, created
7	letterhead and figured out what the text
8	should look like, make it look very much
9	like an IRS letter.
L 0	Fortunately, most of our clients reach
11	out to us, and we start the forensics
L2	trying to figure out is this legitimate or
L3	not. Sometimes it is hard to tell.
L 4	Sometimes we just ignore the notice and
L5	call and is this something valid.
L 6	The hassle and process of working
L7	through situations and getting to a
L8	resolution has certainly gotten worse, as
L 9	Arthur mentioned, in the last nine years. I
20	have been doing this about 20 years. It has
21	gotten a lot more difficult to deal with
22	the IRS and find answers. But still,

1	telephone, in our experience, gets us the
2	most answers. Practitioner priority is
3	very good in our experience, helping us get
4	a resolution, finding out what the problem
5	is or what to recommend that we do as far
6	as next steps. But with the cuts it has
7	been very hard to get through.
8	MS. OLSON: Thank you very much.
9	A taxpayer approached us and shared
10	with us a letter that had the Taxpayer
11	Advocate Service on it under my signature.
12	It wasn't my signature, but with Nina E.
13	Olson, National Taxpayer Advocate signature
14	telling the taxpayer to send in a certain
15	amount of money we were working on and
16	this is very bizarre a real estate
17	real estate tax issue. If they sent the
18	money and we needed them to send us the
19	money, so we get the issue resolved and
20	refund the money.
21	When I saw that letter, I thought,
22	wow, when you get to that point you have a

1	letterhead; you have my name; you have my
2	title. That is very difficult to combat.
3	Next we will hear from Bob Smith.
4	Robert Smith graduated from Texas A&M with
5	an engineering degree, and Auburn
6	University with an MBA, and spent his
7	career in the U.S. Army retiring, in 1989
8	with the rank of Colonel. I should be
9	calling you "Colonel."
10	His specialty was command and staff as
11	a field artillery officer. He spent almost
12	one half of his career serving overseas
13	mostly in Europe. Following retirement from
14	the Army, he discovered and moved to
15	Hendersonville in 1990 and worked for H & R
16	Block for 17 years, 13 as a senior tax
17	advisor before leaving them in 2006. After
18	leaving H & R Block he wanted to remain
19	active in the income tax preparation area
20	and discovered the AARP Tax Aid Program.
21	He has been with the program for nine years
22	and serves as an instructor/quality control

1	and is presently local coordinator for
2	Hendersonville, North Carolina supervising
3	68 volunteers. Thank you so much, Colonel.
4	MR. SMITH: Thank you very much,
5	Nina. I've got to say right off the outset,
6	we do operate to provide tax assistance and
7	tax preparation services to the low and
8	middle income senior folks. We operate
9	within the charter of the IRS's SPEC office
10	and AARP, but we do not turn away anyone at
11	the door as long as they fall within the
12	specifications of our scope and our ability
13	to do their tax returns.
14	Those 68 volunteers do the greeting,
15	the interview, the tax preparation, the
16	electronic filing, and they all do it with
17	a smile on their face. And everyone is
18	appreciative of what we do. For that I'm
19	grateful.
20	All the returns receive a quality
21	review by a second person, which is an IRS
22	requirement in accordance with the

1	guidance.
2	Last year we were the largest Tax Aid
3	site in the state of North Carolina, and
4	purportedly, the fourth in the nation. So
5	it's a pretty good-size organization to be
6	associated with it. And I'm really pleased
7	to be with it.
8	I noticed, with interest, the remarks
9	by the IRS commissioner before the National
10	Press Club on the 24th of March. He did
11	talk about the future of IRS, but he spent
12	about a quarter of the time, if not a half
13	the time, praising the works and efforts of
14	his paid employees. And I would be remiss
15	if I didn't also express my great
16	appreciation for the unpaid folks that I
17	work with. They do a magnificent job. I
18	just wanted to make that a matter of
19	record.
20	MS. OLSON: Duly noted.
21	MR. SMITH: I do not interact
22	with IRS directly, as my colleagues on this

1	panel do. We do respond to letters, but we
2	rarely get on the phone and try to wait
3	that 45 minutes to talk to someone.
4	But Nina, in a recent conference call
5	that we had last week, kind of said, you
6	know, what do you hear from the clients
7	about IRS? What are their issues? And
8	also what are your issues, Bob, with regard
9	to how we can help? We being IRS.
10	The biggest one of the biggest
11	problems we have is the Affordable Care
12	Act. Those that don't have so-called
13	minimum essential coverage may be
14	responsible for a shared responsibility
15	payment. I think Justice Roberts called
16	that a tax, didn't he? I would call it
17	something else, but for volunteers it is a
18	heavy burden on us to sort through all of
19	the exceptions that we can come up with
20	that are available for a person who may be
21	subject to the shared responsibility
22	payment. The worst being the affordability

1	exception.
2	Our clients, quite frankly, don't
3	understand the whole process. I find the
4	IRS language in some cases not very clear
5	on the Affordable Care Act issues. There
6	are a group of volunteers north of
7	Charlotte, Salisbury, I believe it is, who
8	came up with a flowchart and a decision
9	matrix and passed it on to the rest of us,
10	which I found invaluable. And if I didn't
11	have that, and my preparers didn't have
12	that, I'm afraid we would be lost in the
13	entire Affordable Care Act procedures
14	because of the nuances of everything.
15	Besides that, it is very time consuming.
16	Now, the fact that we do serve senior
17	citizens, that is not an issue, because
18	they're covered by Medicare. Many of the
19	clients that come into us have insurance -
20	health insurance from their employer. So
21	that is not an issue. It's this person
22	that falls in low income traditionally, 138

1	to 400 percent of the federal poverty level
2	and they don't have insurance. How do we
3	keep them from doing the shared
4	responsibility payment?
5	As an example, we had a gentleman and
6	his wife in a couple weeks ago; English was
7	not their first language. They went to the
8	marketplace to get their insurance, so they
9	could get the subsidy, they lowballed their
10	income. At the end of the day, when the
11	reconciliation process took place, he got
12	presented with a \$5,000 tax bill. He just
13	didn't believe us.
14	And so I said, well, if you don't
15	think we're doing the correct thing, please
16	go to our local Legal Services Advocate and
17	get their opinion. He came back two days
18	later and he said, "I'm ready to send my
19	return in. You guys did the right thing."
20	Most of our clients are confused about this
21	entire procedure, as I mentioned.
22	The second issue that we wrestle with

1	is education credits and the possibility of
2	income on their tax returns from
3	scholarships.
4	Educational institutions have to give
5	a form; it is reported to IRS. It is also
6	reported to them. It is called a 1098T,
7	which lists the bills and/or expenses that
8	the institution charged and also lists the
9	scholarship that they have received. But
10	that is only the tip of the iceberg. What
11	we have to do is find out what are the
12	qualified education expenses, and whether
13	those scholarships are restricted or
14	unrestricted. And frequently we need to
15	send them back home, access their student
16	accounts, come back in and explain
17	everything to them, and try to rationalize
18	with them, that just because they paid
19	\$20,000 for last year's semester, those are
20	not all qualified expenses and, therefore,
21	you don't get education credits on some of
22	them. They have a hard time understanding

1	that. They don't understand why they can't
2	get credit for room and board, for
3	instance.
4	Nina also asked me what IRS can do for
5	us. The software that we use is IRS
6	sponsored. They provide it to all
7	registered sites. The software the bids
8	for the software, as I understand it, are
9	on a competitive basis. We have been using
10	a software package called Tax Wise for the
11	entire period that I have been associated
12	with the program, which is nine years. It
13	is a CCH package.
14	They lost their contract for 2016. I
15	have seen a noticeable decrease in support
16	by CCH because of that. Now, what I'm
17	asking for IRS is that to get an efficient
18	proper tax return done, carry- forward data
19	is very important, because it gives you a
20	good check on what was done last year.
21	We are not going to have that next
22	year for 2016 tax returns. And I would

1	ask IRS or AARP to somehow make out an
2	arrangement with CCH to see if we cannot
3	have access to the 2015 carry-forward
4	data, so we can efficiently prepare
5	quality returns in 2017.
6	That is a request. That is what I
7	see the biggest issue for us. I noticed,
8	Nina, you wanted a victim of identity
9	theft on this panel. I don't see one,
10	except that I am one. So if needed, I can
11	fill in the void on what is required,
12	because I'm stuck with now filing a tax
13	return for the rest of my life with an
14	IRS-provided PIN. And the resolution on
15	mine took 11 months.
16	MS. OLSON: We will certainly
17	come back to that. So thank you. You
18	were a double witness.
19	MR. SMITH: Thank you very much
20	for allowing me to share my remarks.
21	MS. OLSON: Our last panelist is
22	Robert Wall. And Mr. Wall, his primary

1	areas, he is an attorney, and his primary
2	area of practice are tax and corporate
3	law. He has experience counseling advising
4	high-net worth clients regarding estate
5	and tax planning matters, including
6	succession issues for closely-held
7	business clients and preparation of estate
8	planning documents, advising clients on
9	matters pertaining to federal income tax
10	laws, to minimize the impact of taxes on
11	business transactions, advocating matters
12	before the IRS on client issues, including
13	audits and appeals, compliance,
14	collections and United States Tax Court
15	matters, counseling clients on federal tax
16	exempt issues and representing businesses
17	and individuals in business dealings such
18	as acquisitions, divestitures, business
19	combinations and entity selection matters.
20	He is a member of Forsyth County Bar
21	Association and the North Carolina Bar
22	Association, and he was selected as CLE,

1	continuing legal education volunteer of the
2	year by the North Carolina Bar Association
3	Foundation in 2016. So yay.
4	MR. WALL: That one came as a
5	surprise. Thank you, Nina.
6	A little bit more background on me.
7	I did my undergraduate work at Wake Forest
8	University, so also thank you.
9	But as you can tell by my tie, my
10	wife who graduated from University of
11	North Carolina, picks my ties, and picked
12	my children's clothes as well.
13	Another note, I got my J.D. from
14	University of Alabama. When you've got an
15	Auburn graduate and an Alabama graduate I
16	got my Master's of Law in Taxation from the
17	University of Denver. And around the office
18	Nina read my introduction but around
19	the office the many litigators I work with
20	don't really understand what that means
21	either, so they simply refer to me as the
22	tax nerd. And I'm okay with that.

1	For my first eight years as attorney
2	I practiced in Macon, Georgia in a firm
3	with eight attorneys. We were a boutique
4	tax firm, meaning everything we did in one
5	way or the other involved taxes. For the
6	last five years I practiced in Winston-
7	Salem. I represent clients across the
8	spectrum. When that intro said I represent
9	high-net worth clients that's not
10	necessarily the case. Obviously when
11	you're a lawyer you like to represent
12	high-net worth clients. I like to
13	represent anyone who needs my help. I
14	certainly don't turn anyone away. We have
15	other panelists here that I may often turn
16	to, if need be, if the financial issues
17	come into play.
18	But I represent individuals with tax
19	issues or planning needs. I also represent
20	corporations, partnerships, nonprofits,
21	trust, estates and other entities. My
22	clients do range from start-up business to

1	Fortune 50 companies.
2	My preference in my tax practice is
3	to work with clients prior to a client
4	developing an issue with the IRS. It is
5	much more cost effective and much less
6	expensive for the client to avoid
7	controversy with the IRS all together.
8	As an aside, I have worked with a
9	there's a liaison here in North Carolina;
10	her name is Ivette Davis. She is with
11	the Internal Revenue Service. She works
12	with small business incubators. I've
13	actually been down in Jackson County at
14	Southwest Community College to speak down
15	there with the small business incubator
16	down there in Franklin, I believe, and
17	I've spoken with several other people,
18	the other small businesses around the
19	state. The IRS does have some materials
20	that are available to get out in front of
21	issues when you have to when someone is
22	starting a business.

1	You know, the cost effective part of
2	it is always going to be is always
3	going to be a component of what I do.
4	But like I said, you know, the start-up
5	businesses of today are the Googles and
6	Facebooks and the Walmarts, and the other
7	large businesses of tomorrow. And I take
8	that into account and factor that into
9	account when I'm working with them.
L 0	Over the course of my career I've
L1	interacted with hundreds of IRS
12	employees, from revenue agents, revenue
13	officers, to chief counsel's office, to
L 4	manager and others within the structure.
L 5	I will say that the vast majority of my
L 6	interactions have been excellent. Once
L7	you can get with someone and you can meet
L 8	with someone, the majority of the time
L 9	they are very good at what they do.
20	Whether or not my client and I prevail,
21	most interactions are professional and
22	sometimes even cordial

1	One thing that I often have to
2	explain to my clients, however, is that
3	most of the employees at the IRS are just
4	like me, just like you. They have a job.
5	They have a family, and they want to do
6	their job. They want to do the job to the
7	best of their ability, go home and spend
8	time with their family.
9	Their job, like my job, is to ensure
LO	the tax administration and in fact,
L1	justice is administered properly and
12	taxpayers are treated equally and fairly
L3	under the law.
L 4	I can give you an example of
15	something that went wrong with the IRS
L 6	and how Nina's office worked with me on
L7	it. I kind of want to skip that. I
L 8	don't want to really dwell on the
L 9	negative, other than comments moving
20	forward, because fortunately, many of
21	those issues turn out positive, even
22	though they do leave a lasting negative

1	impression with taxpayers.
2	I have, I can tell you thousands of
3	positive stories about interactions with
4	the IRS. It's interesting; I have one I
5	would consider her a friend. She is
6	actually in the Criminal Investigation
7	Division in the Greensboro office. And
8	trust me, you don't ever want to interact
9	with the CID if you can avoid it. I have
10	gotten to be good friends with her,
11	because I had a client who was not my
12	client when he did this, but I had a
13	client who pulled a gun on her, and she
14	called me the next day and said, "Mr.
15	Wall, I was just trying to do my job."
16	I said, "Whatever you do, don't go
17	back to his house."
18	Fortunately we worked that out in a
19	manner that was favorable to everyone
20	concerned. She and I have had several,
21	unfortunately interacting with the CID
22	we have had several interactions very

47

1	cordial because she's very good at her
2	job, and those people at the IRS are very
3	professional.
4	I'm also probably the closest to
5	Greensboro. I get over to the Greensboro
6	office fairly frequently and know a lot of
7	those folks fairly well.
8	I do understand that the IRS has been
9	under significant budget cuts which
10	resulted in staffing and training issues
11	and technology issues. In my view, the
12	IRS must do several things regardless of
13	their budget. Number one, they must
14	maintain the highest standard for employee
15	integrity and hold those who fall short.
16	Number two, they must administer tax
17	justice in a fair and unbiased manner and
18	hold those who fail to do so accountable.
19	Number three, they need to take steps
20	to rebuild public trust in the IRS, to
21	collect taxes according to the law and as
22	written, and hold those individuals who

1	fail to do so accountable for their
2	actions. Accountability is critical, in
3	my view, not only for us as taxpayers.
4	They are going to hold all of us
5	accountable. If we did something wrong,
6	we need to be able to hold them
7	accountable as well. Many of y'all, I
8	guess, you saw in the packet out front, I
9	review the proposal for future integration
10	of taxes and for small businesses
11	(Interruption by the reporter.)
12	MR. WALL: I will say this: I'm
13	an attorney, but I'm not the kind that goes
14	to court. I have real lawyers in my firm
15	that go to court for me. I just set things
16	up. While the proposals are headed in the
17	right direction, there are two main issues
18	that concern me. First, the technological
19	component of the plan presents a multitude
20	of problems. I think everyone on the panel
21	agrees with me on that.
22	As those of us who work with the IRS

1	know, technology within the IRS is woefully
2	inadequate at this point. It will take
3	years to bring them up to equal technology
4	with most Americans. As those of us in
5	North Carolina know, and I don't know if
6	you know this, but I deal with the
7	Department of Revenue as well. Our state
8	attempted a technology upgrade three years
9	ago, and the system became obsolete about
10	two weeks after it was implemented and
11	withdrew it. It cost I don't want to
12	say B, but it might have been in the
13	billion dollar range. It was very
14	disappointing for us in the tax
15	professional arena, because we were looking
16	forward to a great system. I'm not here to
17	bad mouth the Department of Revenue. It's
18	just proof positive that technology expands
19	exponentially. It is very difficult for
20	some of these bureaucratic systems to be
21	brought on line.
22	In addition to that, as we know, we

1	have cyber security threats. I have a
2	colleague who I have spoken with and had
3	come speak on my behalf for me, who is the
4	head of cyber security office for the FBI in
5	Charlotte, Jim Granozio, and Jim will give
6	you horror stories. I had him come speak to
7	a room of lawyers and CPAs in Winston back
8	in November, and they were shaking in their
9	boots by the horror stories. He was
10	nonchalant, flippant about it, while the
11	rest of us were panicked.
12	Cyber security is very real threat.
13	From that perspective, cyber security at any
14	government agency is a threat. As we have
15	seen in the last few months, the IRS
16	reported that there was a hack in which I
17	think 700,000 was the number of identities
18	that were taken or tax information that was
19	obtained. I don't expect that to stop. I
20	expect that we live in a world where there
21	are numerous parties that are knocking on
22	doors looking for that information in any

1	way they can use it to exploit any number of
2	us.
3	Further, as other panelists have said,
4	identity theft has been an issue I'm
5	trying to slow down. And at one point I
6	think that these statistics where there was
7	an estimated \$4 billion in losses one year
8	annually to fraudulently filed returns. And
9	to me, that is a critical component when
LO	you're considering we will go all tech,
L1	because it just doesn't work that way. That
L2	leads to my second point. The proposal, as I
13	see, lacks some human interactive element
L 4	that is a key component to the mission of
L 5	the IRS.
L 6	The American taxpayer needs to have
L7	trustworthy and professional individuals
L 8	within the government assisting in tax
L 9	administration in order to build and gain
20	the trust that should be expected. That is
21	not to say that those folks are not there
22	today. As I said, they are, the majority of

1	the folks that work with the IRS are
2	fantastic and very professional. However, as
3	my fellow panelists have said, accessing
4	those people is very difficult, if not
5	impossible sometimes.
6	I acknowledge in my comments that the
7	human element is a double-edge sword in that
8	human error is a predominant cause with a
9	lot of issues with the IRS. However, the
10	professional individuals working at the IRS
11	have critical and positive outcomes within
12	the system.
13	Lastly, and I will say this, without
14	question, no matter who I'm dealing with,
15	whether my clients got millions or
16	billions of dollars or whether my clients
17	may not have two nickels to rub together,
18	the Taxpayer Advocate Services is one of
19	the most critical components to our tax
20	system. While I, as an attorney, can
21	fight for justice for the American
22	taxpayer, demand our government

1	institution be held to a higher standard,
2	the advocate this is Nina and her staff
3	are fighting from the inside of the
4	government to hold the system accountable
5	to be fair for all. To me that is
6	absolutely critical. And I will tell you
7	in one of the biggest cases I've ever had,
8	I did involve the advocate. We were able
9	to work together. This was for a client
10	who could have paid the tax. They could
11	have paid they could have continued to
12	pay me hundreds of thousands of dollars if
13	they wanted to the resolve the issue. But
14	it was much more efficient for me to work
15	within the system and work with the
16	advocate to do it that way.
17	So we are you may or may not know
18	we're incredibly fortunate to have Nina
19	here, and to have her as our advocate,
20	because she is excellent at her job. She
21	staffs her office excellently, even though
22	sometimes they might not give her two

	-
1	nickels to rub together for them to do the
2	job they need to do.
3	I have several very good friends in
4	that office in Greensboro, as well as a
5	very good friend of mine that just moved
6	to Pennsylvania now and is heading an
7	office up in Philadelphia. I just want to
8	emphasize to all of you that they are
9	fantastic at what they do.
10	Yes, they are stressed and they have
11	a very difficult time as well because of
12	budget issues, but I can't say enough good
13	about them.
14	MS. OLSON: Thank you. Well,
15	would you like to have questions?
16	REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: Sure.
17	I'm going to follow up, and mainly because
18	I was watching a lot of you as I heard the
19	testimony. When someone hits on something
20	you get uh-huh. The eyes start to roll,
21	and you go, boy, that is not the half of
22	it.

1	So I guess my question to each of you
2	is it sounds like we have a recurring
3	theme here. One is that it is critically
4	important that we talk to individuals to
5	get some resolution. I think you
6	mentioned the personal interaction.
7	Colonel, you have mentioned the personal
8	interaction as well, but Arthur talked
9	about how when that phone call comes back
10	and there is not a taxpayer that is
11	that something that you would experience
12	on a regular basis, where they actually
13	call back and say, "please return the call
14	and you're not sure as a preparer who
15	their talking about?" Show of hands how
16	many? One, two, three. Okay.
17	So I guess my question is, and part
18	of this is a budget item. I have been one
19	of the few fiscal conservatives that say
20	we need to make sure we give the IRS the
21	financial resources to provide good
22	customer service. It doesn't normally get

56

1	you a lot of votes back home when you do
2	that. But in hearing this, it sounds like
3	that really the vast majority is that
4	response time. So I would like to ask
5	very quickly in two sentences or less, the
6	average response time when you call in
7	trying to get a real person to answer your
8	question, is that a matter of days, hours,
9	weeks? Arthur, we'll start with you.
10	MR. BARTLETT: I'm an attorney,
11	so it depends. If we are calling for a
12	simple matter for someone who has an
13	outstanding balance, we call collections
14	and are already in collections, the
15	average wait time can sometimes they
16	answer quickly, sometimes not. Sometimes
17	five minutes, sometimes 45 minutes, an
18	hour. Then you get someone on the call,
19	on the other end of the phone and you
20	start to have a conversation about the
21	client's case, and that could take another
22	half an hour. And if the person is well

1	trained, which they usually are, and they
2	understand the systems they are working
3	with, which are antiquated, you can get
4	the appropriate result with the
5	information that they have and the
6	information you have.
7	Other times you wait 45 minutes, they
8	get on the other end, they answer the
9	call, and they are not well trained. They
10	don't know how to navigate the system in
11	front of them, because the system is
12	antiquated and you're left frustrated and
13	you have to call back. It is sometimes
14	REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: When you
15	get frustrated, who do you call?
16	MR. BARTLETT: Well,
17	REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: Is there
18	anybody?
19	MR. BARTLETT: I call back.
20	REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: Hoping
21	that the next call you don't get
22	frustrated? Colonel.

1	MR. SMITH: I have no interaction
2	with IRS, except in my own personal case.
3	Because we have someone else in our
4	REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: Is this
5	the real Colonel or the other Colonel? I
6	know it isn't funny.
7	MR. SMITH: In the volunteer
8	capacity of AARP tax aid we have someone else
9	who is in contact with IRS if necessary.
10	Generally speaking, and that is an
11	IRS term, generally speaking, when a
12	person comes in with a letter from the
13	IRS, we tell them what to do with that
14	letter. If it's a math problem, if it's
15	explanatory, then we just augment that
16	and tell them, yes, this is the case. We
17	can also call up that year particular
18	year's tax return, if we have done it,
19	and we are able to say, yes, they're
20	right or, no, I think they made a
21	mistake, that \$3000 estimated payment
22	that you made was not counted. Did you

1	put on their 2014 form 1040 ES? Oh, no, I
2	didn't do that. Well, it went into
3	someone's else's bailiwick. We try to get
4	them to contact IRS. And the reason is,
5	we have one phone in our group.
6	Traditionally we have about 18 to 20
7	volunteers at a time at the site with one
8	phone, and we are handling anywhere
9	between 30 on a slow day, to 65 or 70
10	clients on a heavy day. We don't have
11	time to sit on the phone with anybody.
12	MR. GROSECLOSE: I would say if
13	phone call is the route to go, two thirds of
14	the time we will get someone. We just plan
15	on we are not going to call till we know we
16	have 45 minutes to an hour of hold music,
17	put it on hold. And then typically, I would
18	say most the time when we get through, that
19	person can give us enough information to get
20	us in the right direction. It's a little bit
21	hit or miss whether you will get through.
22	MR. WALL: The golden ticket,

1	when dealing with the IRS, as everyone will
2	back me up, is when you get a letter with
3	someone's name and phone number on it. And
4	when that happens, I would say nine times
5	out of 10 you can get an answer within 15
6	minutes. You call that person. You leave
7	them a voice mail. They may call you back
8	when it is more convenient.
9	On the flip side of that, if I know
10	that I have to call the IRS, I will
11	purposefully arrive at the office early, so
12	I can call the taxpayer professional line
13	early so my wait time is 30 minutes as
14	opposed to being two hours. And I might
15	add, I prefer the 1812 Overture as the hold
16	music. That is just my preference.
17	Again, it is all in the timing. It is
18	typically timing of year as well. Sometimes
19	these times of year, it may be much more
20	difficult to get someone, but if I'm
21	calling, I'm calling early in the morning.
22	MS. OLSON: I just have a story to

1	tell about the music. So having represented
2	taxpayers for 27 years, I was so sick of
3	hearing the Nutcracker Suite on hold that one
4	of the first things I wanted to do when I
5	became National Taxpayer Advocate was get the
6	music changed. It took me six months, but I
7	fussed and fussed, and they finally
8	said, good news, Nina, we are changing the
9	music. I had been saying, give people
10	selections, like jazz, heavy metal, whatever
11	you could choose what you wanted to listen to,
12	but they came up with the 1812. I was like,
13	"are you going to change it periodically?" And
14	that had never occurred to anyone. I have to
15	go back and do some more advocating. So you
16	like it, so we will leave it.
17	REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: Let me ask
18	one other questions. It sounds like if we
19	increase the staffing on the professional
20	side, and that is not to say that we are not
21	having the same issue with a normal taxpayer
22	line, I've heard a number of stories there as

1	well, but if we are to increase response time
2	where it's not a 45-minute hold each time you
3	call in or, and/or that when a letter comes in
4	that you actually get a person's name and
5	phone number to respond to instead of a
6	generic, that would be helpful.
7	MR. WALL: That's correct. Let me
8	add this. This is a little lawyer secret as
9	well. If you're not aware of this
10	REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: This is
11	public forum. Once you hear it, it's no
12	longer a secret.
13	MR. WALL: The IRS does publish
14	a list of contact information for North
15	Carolina and South Carolina and the Bar
16	Association sends it to us. They do it
17	every couple of years. And I have had
18	interactions to where I could not get
19	anybody on the phone, so I had, I know the
20	collection people locally, and I know some
21	of the heads of the groups, but I pick up
22	the phone and said, well, this person is

1	the head of this group. This is an action
2	that's going on in the eastern part of the
3	state or over here, I'm just going to call
4	them. And I pick up the phone and I call
5	them and they answered. I said you
6	know, I introduce myself and go through
7	the spiel. The first thing they say is,
8	"how did you get this number?" I have to
9	explain, by the way, this is published and
10	all the lawyers have it. But that is a
11	it's a useful tool when we have it. I
12	will say this though, Congressman, the
13	issue with the wait times for me and for
14	my clients, as my clients understand, if
15	I'm on hold, I'm billing on an hourly
16	rate, that is costing them money. And for
17	a cash strapped client, a start-up
18	business or an individual all clients
19	are cash strapped, that is not something
20	they want to have to do. That money can
21	go towards when you wrack it up, that
22	money can go to other things for their

1	business.
2	MS. OLSON: Can I ask a follow up
3	question? On the Practitioner Priority
4	Line, for those of you who call it, what
5	things would be helpful on it that they are
6	not doing now? I know that when you call
7	with a collection issue they hand you over
8	to ACS, automated collection directly,
9	rather than dealing with you, but are there
10	things that you would find very helpful
11	that you're not able to do through the line
12	right now?
13	MR. GROSECLOSE: I think the
14	challenge that I have a lot of times is
15	figuring out what triggered what, and how we
16	got to this point? We have had
17	correspondence two or three times, responded
18	based on the request, and then we get
19	something else back or we get a repeat of
20	that. And finally we speak with someone to
21	try to figure out exactly where is this
22	originating. Sometimes it might say, it's a

1	service center, or it might say it's under
2	reporting or something, but a lot of times it
3	doesn't add up. We are getting information -
4	- conflicting information, if it is something
5	on appeals, or we are getting two different
6	offices that are still keeping the appeals
7	file active. Who are we supposed to respond
8	to and trying to navigate that we use
9	practitioner priority as kind of a police,
10	and they can't always find the answer, or
11	they will give a recommendation and it
12	doesn't quite line up with the documentation
13	we received.
14	So they seem to have limited, either
15	training in some instances, or access to
16	information within the databases that the IRS
17	has. Those seem to be the two sources of
18	difficulty.
19	We try to use them largely like a
20	referee to give us some direction on where to
21	go when we can't piece together the
22	information we have.

1	MS. OLSON: I think, depending
2	on who you talk to the IRS has between 60
3	or I saw one estimate of 200 different
4	case management systems. And any given
5	employee has access only to a limited
6	number. So they can say I can see that
7	something happened, but I can't see what
8	happened. You're thinking that's nuts. I
9	do wonder about the future state, how we
10	were going to build it so that the system
11	itself can see if you logged into that
12	account, to try to figure out what was
13	going on, would it be like an electronic
14	Practitioner Priority Service where you
15	can see something happened but you don't
16	know what that is. And then you still have
17	to make that phone call. That is an
18	unanswered question.
19	MR. BARTLETT: You can certainly
20	tell when you're on the phone with them
21	when they are lost. They can't make sense
22	of the information they have.

1	MS. OLSON: Well, I do want to
2	hear about your identity theft experience,
3	since we have a live victim here.
4	MR. SMITH: It happened about
5	three years ago. I used an online service,
6	which is secure. I had been using it
7	before. So I did the electronic filing on
8	a Friday night. Saturday morning got up to
9	make sure it had been accepted. On
10	Saturday morning it said, Whoop, it has
11	been rejected. It was rejected because
12	either the primary or secondary Social
13	Security was used by someone else filing a
14	tax return. They wanted the letter went
15	on the message went on to explain that
16	it could have been a typo or you could be a
17	victim of identity theft.
18	In the meantime, what do I do?
19	Fortunately, the IRS has some pretty good
20	guidelines on that. Our organization also
21	has in the book that IRS publishes
22	information where to proceed. So the

1	first thing we had to do was fill out an
2	affidavit to the Federal Trade Commission,
3	notify every single credit card and bank
4	account that you have that you had been a
5	victim, to make sure they monitor your
6	accounts. The return had to be sent in by
7	paper along with a copy of a photo ID card
8	and Social Security card, and a police
9	report.
10	The police the local police,
11	asked, what are you here for? We don't
12	have anything to do with that. Sorry,
13	guys, here is what it says. Get a police
14	report. So I did, and they reluctantly
15	did so.
16	I did not hear from them for IRS -
17	- seven months. I finally called and they
18	said, well, you will be your case will
19	be assigned to someone. And you will hear
20	from them soon.
21	About nine months into the process I
22	did get a letter, and the lady from IRS

1	identified herself and said, "I'm your
2	contact and we are here to resolve the
3	issue." And told me, furthermore, I would
4	be receiving for next year's returns a
5	taxpayer identification number, which goes
6	on the tax return.
7	Never was I told whether it was an
8	actual identity theft or not. Never was I
9	told anything about whether it's being
10	someone was caught and prosecuted. It
11	just suddenly I finally not
12	suddenly, about 11 months later I got a
13	letter that says, "the issue has been
14	resolved. Your refund will be directly
15	deposited as requested."
16	Fortunately, it was a small amount.
17	It's the issue that it was all about. It
18	was an extremely frustrating and
19	troublesome issue, because quite frankly,
20	I felt violated.
21	MS. OLSON:
22	It's your identity. Nothing more personal.

1	I have some questions, and I want to pick
2	up one thing that, Robert, you were
3	talking about, which was that your ability
4	to sort of go to, you know people in the
5	Greensboro office and you have established
6	relationships with them. So you're able
7	to talk to them about what sounds like
8	very difficult situations.
9	I'm wondering how many of you out
10	there who are practicing have that same
11	sort of experience. Because my concern
12	is that the IRS, as it moves to this
13	digital future, is looking at really
14	concentrating its employees in more
15	centralized functions. There is an
16	absence of a geographic presence,
17	understanding what is going on in
18	the communities and things like
19	that.
20	My own office has at least one office
21	in each state, in many states we have more
22	than one when there is a very large

1	population. We are actually going in the
2	opposite direction of trying to grab
3	some real estate so that we can open up
4	additional offices where we know that
5	there are populations that really need
6	our assistance.
7	And so I'm wondering what you think
8	might be the impact of this shrinking
9	geographic footprint and this expanding,
10	you know, service center, 10 sites in
11	Fresno, and Brookhaven, and Andover and
12	where those are the employees that you're
13	increasingly talking to.
14	Does anyone want to weigh in on that?
15	MR. GROSECLOSE: I'm in
16	Asheville, and the Asheville IRS office was
17	for many years the resources for us to get
18	issues resolved. We knew the people there.
19	We could call them. Speak directly with
20	them. We still have a couple of those
21	contacts, but there is no phone answered
22	anymore. It is just a repository of voice

1	messages. And you may or may not get
2	through, and if you know someone, that is
3	great. You kind of apologize for
4	constantly bugging that one person, but
5	they will make a connection that's not as
6	effective as it used to be because you
7	don't have as much representation locally.
8	Greensboro as well, some contacts there to
9	go through. It is typically a spring board.
10	We are trying to get in and we are trying
11	to get information. We are trying to get
12	somewhere else. And so having that
13	connection has been critical in the past.
14	It has been more difficult to leverage
15	those in the last few years, whether the
16	person has moved on or retired. You don't
17	know who that next person is because they
18	are not open and available.
19	MS. OLSON: Folks don't go out
20	any more and do presentations. When I was
21	in practice the revenue agents and the
22	revenue officers were going out to the

1	Kiwanis Club and Rotary and talking about
2	things.
3	MR. WALL: I think you get much
4	less of that.
5	AUDIENCE MEMBER: They come out
6	to audit.
7	MR. BARTLETT: I hear from them
8	when I have a client that is being
9	audited.
10	MR. WALL: There is outreach by
11	the IRS, like I said before, Ivette Davis
12	does a very good job. But there is not as
13	much outreach from the actual from the
14	people doing exams and audits. You know, I
15	have a tremendous amount of concern with
16	centralization of the process to the
17	effect that we might have where, you know,
18	you can call Ogden, Utah we are all
19	familiar with Ogden. Ogden has a large IRS
20	office there, or there is an IRS office
21	known as the fort up in Philadelphia. And
22	I do have concern that you route

1	everything through there. There is not
2	enough personal interaction.
3	When I'm dealing with the IRS, and this is
4	who I am, I'm from middle Georgia, I'm a
5	kind of awe shucks kind of guy. My father
6	always taught me you can catch more flies
7	with honey. That doesn't necessarily
8	resonate with people in Ogden or in
9	Philadelphia. But it does work a little
10	better when you're dealing with people
11	locally. And these are people who maybe
12	our kids they're in the community.
13	Maybe our kids play soccer together. That
14	is an important component, because it does
15	humanize the process. It is a necessary
16	thing to do.
17	To me it's scary to think about a
18	process where you just punch in a few keys
19	and then it's all mechanical. I grew up
20	in '80s watching those movies about robots
21	taking over the world. It does frighten
22	me that you have less personal

1	interaction. Number one, it impacts my
2	ability to negotiate and argue. But
3	number two, it leaves a bad impression for
4	me as a taxpayer to think there is just
5	some big Watson, or whatever the computer
6	Hal, whatever you want to call it there,
7	that is determining how much I actually
8	owe my government.
9	MR. SMITH: We train in January.
10	And four of five years ago we used to have
11	a fellow from Asheville who would come and
12	give us an update on the tax year coming
13	up. And he also provided us with a point
14	of contact in Asheville. And if we had
15	questions, even during the training
16	process, we could call him and get an
17	opinion. And it was great dealing with
18	him. He was a very personable individual.
19	I enjoyed dealing with him. We don't have
20	that anymore.
21	And the only people that come to talk
22	to us, even at the state level, is North

1	Carolina Department of Revenue. So that is
2	a missing component. I agree with you 100
3	percent.
4	MR. BARTLETT: I would say having
5	any point of contact on a particular
6	client's case is very helpful. In the
7	course of working one of our typical cases,
8	there is usually a point in the process
9	where you get an actual person's name. You
10	get a phone number, and then you can
11	usually resolve their case. No matter what
12	the case is.
13	The key for me is finding some point
14	in the process where I can talk to one
15	person who is assigned to my client's case.
16	That is usually the way that we get our
17	cases solved. There are lots of cases we
18	get solved otherwise. But that is a very
19	helpful thing to have, someone to talk to
20	who is assigned to your client's case, no
21	matter where it is in the process.
22	MS. OLSON: We have recommended

1	that, particularly in correspondence exam,
2	where you never get the same person when
3	you get through. We felt that that would
4	create accountability.
5	The IRS has said that you can never
6	be guaranteed that that person is
7	available. And our recommendation was,
8	well, you can give the taxpayer an option.
9	Do they want to speak to the next
LO	assister, or do they want to wait for a
L1	call back from this person. Taxpayers are
L2	rational most of the time and can make
L3	that choice. What is the best thing for
L 4	them. And we have not been successful.
L 5	We have not been successful in getting
L 6	that through.
L 7	I have lots of questions, but I
L 8	think I would like to open it up to the
L 9	floor, because you all have been very
20	quiet and very patient. If you have
21	comments or observations and you want to
22	share with us I'd love we would all

1	love to hear from you. Don't be shy.
2	MS. RIEDLINGER: I don't want to
3	butt in. My name is Cheryl Riedlinger and
4	I'm actually a Wake Forest law grad.
5	These are my comments.
6	MS. OLSON: Thank you so much.
7	MS. RIEDLINGER: I'm probably the
8	only person in the room who is going to say
9	anything about financial institutions that I
10	represent, and the issues that we have as a
11	lot of the issue, is with the information
12	returns program.
13	And what is happening with the financial
14	institutions is we receive penalties every
15	year for information returns. Those are your
16	1099s, your 1042S, various types of
17	financial institutions, banks, credit unions,
18	basically file with the billions with a B -
19	- of information returns every year.
20	So two years after the filing year, we
21	get our 972CG notice of proposed penalty and
22	have 45 days to respond, and then we should

1 get a response back from the IRS within --2 if I heard something within months, I would be absolutely thrilled. We have gotten as 3 many as -- my personal clients, as many as six so-called 60-day letters, saying we need 5 6 more time, we need more time. 7 The problem is, I understand that everyone needs more time. However, these 8 9 notices are coming two years after the 10 filing date in the first place. When you 11 then add on 100s more days you're almost running out of the statute of limitations 12 13 here. And they want to extend the statute, 14 which to me doesn't seem fair, just as an 15 equitable thing, to require the taxpayer, 16 financial institution to respond within 45 17 days to something that is two years old. 18 And then wait. But the real problem is, at the same time they are sending the 60-day 19 letters, collections is sending out a notice 20 of intent to levy, and an actual levy 21 22 notice, and they are offsetting other

1	credits the institution may have, payroll,
2	945s, your backup withholding. Even so far
3	as to withhold money from one of the
4	financial institutions' customers, not even
5	the financial institution itself. And so
6	they are offsetting and there is no legal
7	right to offset, first of all, because there
8	has not even been an assessment. But if you
9	can't get a response to your reasonable
10	cause defense, there is nothing you can do.
11	And you can't get to appeals where someone
12	might actually be able to help, because
13	there has not been an assessment.
14	So I wrote down here, I didn't know
15	what to call it, an issue or action plan. It
16	would just be helpful if collections knew
17	what the other area of the IRS who issues
18	the penalties, that they have been sending
19	out these 60-day and that could be a very
20	automated process, to say, don't send out a
21	notice of intent to levy or a levy notice to
22	someone who has responded. And we have the

1	certified mail. We have everything. And I
2	have several examples of that, and the
3	particular notices that can occur.
4	This has gotten worse and worse in the
5	last three years. I have been doing this for
6	a long time. I was in-house counsel for a
7	bank, and we used to get them and now I'm
8	outside for banks across country. It isn't
9	just a regional thing. And I would be
10	thrilled to have a 45-minute call with
11	response time. It is nowhere near.
12	And the other issue is I hate to be
13	negative but when you actually get ahold of
14	the person, get after hours, literally, they
15	don't even know what you're talking about.
16	And so my comment is not just finding the
17	right person, but someone who knows what the
18	issue even is. And the best I have been able
19	to come up with through collections, because
20	there's major dollars, and I know probably
21	Congress and IRS and financial institutions
22	are on the same level of nonularity at the

1	current time, but there are literally banks
2	incurring significant hardships because of the
3	offset program. And this is an ongoing thing.
4	So that is my first thing.
5	And then quick, other issue, is with the
6	ITINs, individual taxpayer ID numbers. The new
7	PATH Act that calls for expiration of an ITN,
8	ITINs are issued to nonresident aliens, people
9	who need a bank account or have some filing
10	due to the IRS but aren't eligible for Social
11	Security numbers.
12	So it's been, since 1999 that there have
13	been acceptance agents who could help getting
14	the ITIN.
15	The problem from the financial
16	institution standpoint with this idea that
17	it is going to expire, is there is no
18	database to tell us that. So we have a
19	customer either renewing a W8 or a W9 form
20	so they can avoid backup withholding or NRA
21	30 percent withholding on a payment, but we
22	don't know whether their documentation.

83

1	their W8 or W9 is valid with the ITIN on
2	it, because there is no database.
3	So this idea that they're going to
4	expire that I think, you know, great people
5	who have ITINs should file tax returns, but
6	the legitimate purpose of the ITIN is to
7	open a bank account. And if we can't tell,
8	and we are responsible for the meaning
9	financial institution as the paying agent -
10	- responsible for the amount that should be
11	withheld, if we don't have proper
12	documentation we should be withholding 28
13	percent of reportable payment and become
14	actually responsible for that ourselves.
15	And so there needs to be a database. And
16	I'd suggest I have seen it now with
17	FATCA with, the Global Intermediary
18	Identification Number (GIN), the global
19	intermediary and foreign financial
20	institutions. There is a database you can
21	look up a GIN that is updated every month,
22	or even the online matching program the IRS

1	has, if we could go to that and see if a
2	customer is giving us an ITIN that has
3	expired.
4	MS. OLSON: Can I ask you a
5	question? On the penalties, is this because
6	the taxpayer's name and social don't match
7	or is it something else?
8	MS. RIEDLINGER: It can be that.
9	The penalties under 67.1.4 can be missing or
10	incorrect taxpayer ID numbers, late filing,
11	improper filing, for example, file on paper
12	when you should have filed electronically,
13	information returns, address information is
14	wrong. What you're referring to is probably
15	the B notice program on your Section 3401.
16	And that is where there is a name mismatch
17	and we send that is a whole other issue I
18	could address because it interacts with
19	Social Security Administration where they
20	have to go and get something from the Social
21	Security Administration to show their name
22	and proper tax ID number.

1	We accept whatever, at our peril, to
2	stop withholding. But these 972CG
3	penalties are across the board for
4	information return filing failures. And
5	in the vast majority the penalties, at
6	least up until three years ago, when we
7	would get a response within a year or two
8	from the IRS and I'm not exaggerating -
9	- we came to expect a year or two could be
10	fine, but this is now I'm still working on
11	2011 penalties and have not received any
12	word back.
13	So it has become worse and worse.
14	MS. OLSON: I would say you
15	should talk to the people out in the
16	hallway, because this is actually
17	something that my group is very
18	experienced with. And we have best
19	friends who are financial institutions,
20	because we wade into there and get this
21	kind of stuff straightened out. That
22	would be one thing. The other thing I was

1	going to ask you you can all write it
2	down I was going to give you my e-mail
3	address and I would like you to e-mail
4	this to me. Don't all e-mail me at once,
5	because then I can't answer the e-mails.
6	My e-mail is nina.e.olson@irs.gov.
7	MS. RIEDLINGER: So this is a big
8	issue. But we haven't gotten any response on
9	this particular issue from the IRS directly.
10	MS. OLSON: This is very helpful.
11	MS. RIEDLINGER: Thank you.
12	MS. OLSON: You have given me
13	your e-mail address too?
14	MS. RIEDLINGER: No. It's at the
15	top I will put it Cheryl S. Riedlinger.
16	MS. OLSON: Does anyone else want
17	to have any comments about anything you're
18	experiencing? Yes, sir, please come up.
19	AUDIENCE COMMENT: Just a quick
20	60-second comment. I mailed my taxes,
21	federal and state this morning. I always
22	try to use a computerized program to do my

1	taxes. But when I did mine this year, I
2	had a couple questions.
3	Bob Smith is a good friend of mine
4	and my golfing buddy. So I asked him to
5	come over and help me with these minor
6	errors. We worked on this for about an
7	hour, hour and 15 minutes.
8	MR. SMITH: Two hours.
9	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I now owe the
10	IRS \$3000. It was my mistake. It wasn't
11	Bob. It was my mistake.
12	MR. SMITH: He was getting a
13	refund until I finished with it.
14	MS. OLSON: Anybody else,
15	comments? Yes, sir.
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good
17	afternoon. I heard a lot of horror
18	stories. We have 12 sites in Winston-
19	Salem, VITA sites. What we are running
20	across is we have students in Wake Forest
21	who work and do taxes, as the Colonel
22	mentioned earlier. Volunteers are verv

respect them and their time. What we've seen is that the pay preparers are referring clients to the VITA sites as a means of go to your local VITA site instead of figuring it out or whatever the problem may be. So I wanted some feedback from the IRS as to what we could convey, because my volunteers sent me here from Winston- Salem saying, make sure you bring this to the table. So we have a REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We swear you weren't here. AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a great relationship with Greensboro. We have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done. What we run across is a very, very	1	valuable and we want to make sure that we
What we've seen is that the pay preparers are referring clients to the VITA sites as a means of go to your local VITA site instead of figuring it out or whatever the problem may be. So I wanted some feedback from the IRS as to what we could convey, because my volunteers sent me here from Winston- Salem saying, make sure you bring this to the table. So we have a REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We swear you weren't here. AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a great relationship with Greensboro. We have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.		
preparers are referring clients to the VITA sites as a means of go to your local VITA site instead of figuring it out or whatever the problem may be. So I wanted some feedback from the IRS as to what we could convey, because my volunteers sent me here from Winston- Salem saying, make sure you bring this to the table. So we have a REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We swear you weren't here. AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a great relationship with Greensboro. We have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	2	respect them and their time.
VITA sites as a means of go to your local VITA site instead of figuring it out or whatever the problem may be. So I wanted some feedback from the IRS as to what we could convey, because my volunteers sent me here from Winston- Salem saying, make sure you bring this to the table. So we have a REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We swear you weren't here. AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a great relationship with Greensboro. We have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	3	What we've seen is that the pay
Whatever the problem may be. So I wanted some feedback from the IRS as to what we could convey, because my volunteers sent me here from Winston- Salem saying, make sure you bring this to the table. So we have a REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We swear you weren't here. AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a great relationship with Greensboro. We have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	4	preparers are referring clients to the
3 So I wanted some feedback from the 4 IRS as to what we could convey, because 5 my volunteers sent me here from Winston- 6 Salem saying, make sure you bring this to 6 the table. So we have a 7 REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We 7 swear you weren't here. 7 AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a 7 great relationship with Greensboro. We 7 have monthly morning meetings. Clearly 7 we wanted to address that concern as to, 7 because we don't turn anybody away. If 7 you come to get your tax prepared, we 8 will make sure you get them done.	5	VITA sites as a means of go to your local
So I wanted some feedback from the IRS as to what we could convey, because my volunteers sent me here from Winston- Salem saying, make sure you bring this to the table. So we have a REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We swear you weren't here. AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a great relationship with Greensboro. We have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	6	VITA site instead of figuring it out or
9 IRS as to what we could convey, because 10 my volunteers sent me here from Winston- 11 Salem saying, make sure you bring this to 12 the table. So we have a 13 REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We 14 swear you weren't here. 15 AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a 16 great relationship with Greensboro. We 17 have monthly morning meetings. Clearly 18 we wanted to address that concern as to, 19 because we don't turn anybody away. If 20 you come to get your tax prepared, we 21 will make sure you get them done.	7	whatever the problem may be.
my volunteers sent me here from Winston- Salem saying, make sure you bring this to the table. So we have a REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We swear you weren't here. AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a great relationship with Greensboro. We have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	8	So I wanted some feedback from the
Salem saying, make sure you bring this to the table. So we have a REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We swear you weren't here. AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a great relationship with Greensboro. We have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	9	IRS as to what we could convey, because
the table. So we have a REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We swear you weren't here. AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a great relationship with Greensboro. We have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	10	my volunteers sent me here from Winston-
13 REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We 14 swear you weren't here. 15 AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a 16 great relationship with Greensboro. We 17 have monthly morning meetings. Clearly 18 we wanted to address that concern as to, 19 because we don't turn anybody away. If 20 you come to get your tax prepared, we 21 will make sure you get them done.	11	Salem saying, make sure you bring this to
swear you weren't here. AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a great relationship with Greensboro. We have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	12	the table. So we have a
AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a great relationship with Greensboro. We have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	13	REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: We
great relationship with Greensboro. We have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	14	swear you weren't here.
have monthly morning meetings. Clearly we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have a
we wanted to address that concern as to, because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	16	great relationship with Greensboro. We
because we don't turn anybody away. If you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	17	have monthly morning meetings. Clearly
you come to get your tax prepared, we will make sure you get them done.	18	we wanted to address that concern as to,
will make sure you get them done.	19	because we don't turn anybody away. If
	20	you come to get your tax prepared, we
What we run across is a very, very	21	will make sure you get them done.
-	22	What we run across is a very, very

1	big influx, compared to last year, this
2	year, I know we've had probably about 150
3	returns come from the I don't want to
4	call any names the big boys who do pay
5	the taxes and say, you need to go over
6	there by Wake Forest to get your taxes
7	done.
8	MS. OLSON: Are these like
9	higher income people?
10	AUDIENCE MEMBER: No. These
11	folks qualify for the 50 below. But we
12	don't turn them away. We have seen an
13	influx. So we wanted to get some feedback.
14	MS. OLSON: This is the first I
15	have heard of that. I'm wondering whether
16	we need to talk to people in the CPA
17	department about doing pro bono, although
18	it is hard to do it in the filing season.
19	I do have a question. It's a little
20	bit of a wrinkle on this. At one of our
21	other public forums, one of the VITA
22	sites, the rules of IRS for you accept

1	cases that you have to look at the income
2	for the year that you're filing. So the
3	2015 income on the return. And that is
4	how you apply for free tax preparation,
5	whether they're eligible and that they
6	were finding people who were currently
7	unemployed, and maybe they had more income
8	the year before, but they felt the VITA
9	folks could not take that taxpayer because
10	their income on the tax return was
11	greater. And I'm wondering whether you
12	do you just ignore that?
13	MR. SMITH: Income has no
14	limitation on our work. TCE. That's the
15	difference. VITA has an income limitations.
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER: We have an
17	income limitation, however, we don't turn
18	anybody away.
19	MS. OLSON: I think we should go
20	back and make that clear. That was a
21	takeaway I had. It just seems to me, if
22	you have someone who is in dire straights

1	now, even though they made some income, the
2	year before, you're looking at their
3	ability to pay a preparer today.
4	MR. WALL: Is there a pattern you
5	see in these individuals that get referred?
6	Is it they are not getting a refund or more
7	complex work?
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's a
9	technicality. We troubleshoot. If there is
10	any trouble with the return, you go ahead, you
11	need to go to your local VITA site. It's not
12	so much as the return itself, but if there are
13	some issues we are the troubleshooter. That's
14	what our volunteers are concerned with.
15	MR. WALL: And to Nina's point I
16	will add, that I'm not sure the NCACPA
17	request pro bono work during the most
18	wonderful time of the year, but I do know
19	that the North Carolina Bar Association
20	I have several colleagues on the tax
21	section council who helped train VITA
22	volunteers as well, particularly on

1	military bases as well.
2	I did income tax returns for a while.
3	As I mentioned, I like to review them. But
4	I really don't like to prepare them. I
5	think your point is well founded.
6	MR. GROSECLOSE: I sat on the
7	board of the North Carolina Association of
8	CPAs. I could float that out there. I'm
9	not aware of that myself, like in our own
10	firm we actually have one of our tax
11	preparers volunteer at the VITA site on
12	Saturday morning for that as well.
13	But I think, you know, I would say in
14	general my expectation is returns have
15	gotten a lot more difficult. Just
16	affordable care, for example, what do I do
17	with this 1095? So there has been a lot
18	more complexity. And compression what
19	we call compression, the work compression,
20	January through April 15 has been a
21	problem for our industry for a lot of
22	years. Adding more complexity makes it

1	more difficult for our pipeline. Our
2	pipeline can only expand so much within
3	four months. It's hard to find
4	experienced people available for only four
5	months of the year.
6	So either we staff up and hire for 12
7	months or we just extend. Some people
8	a lot of people don't like extending. They
9	feel it puts them on the hit list with the
10	IRS. We try to convince them there's no
11	statistics of that. So it is very
12	difficult. I'm not aware of a program,
13	per se, to encourage referring clients
14	somewhere else. I can sort of understand
15	how that could be happening, because of
16	workload compression and the volume of
17	complexity. The volume of returns is the
18	same, but they are a lot more difficult.
19	So people might be coming to preparers
20	more saying, "I can't handle this myself
21	anymore." So I can see that being
22	difficult.

1	MS. OLSON: And you might be
2	saying to them, I can't fit you in, and if
3	you need to get it done before an
4	extension you might try
5	MR. SMITH: We don't have that
6	problem. We just go ahead and take them,
7	and I'm finding that we are having people
8	who had a paid preparer, not a CPA, a paid
9	preparer to do their return and they will
10	come to us the following year by word of
11	mouth, because they paid too much for what
12	they did. And, obviously, our service is
13	free. And suddenly we have a loyal client
14	because they realize we did just as good a
15	return as the one who charged him \$200 to
16	\$500.
17	MS. OLSON: Did I see someone
18	else? Yes, sir.
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER LEROY: Well, I
20	hate to be negative. But anyway, my name
21	is Leroy. I'm an enrolled agent. I have
22	worked in Asheville now in that role since

1	2001. Before that, beginning in 1975, I
2	was a revenue officer for the IRS. I
3	later became a manager of the Asheville
4	office. And I retired at the end of
5	the last day of the millennium. Anyway,
6	from my observations the IRS, at least in
7	the section that I work, and I do tax
8	representation usually for people that owe
9	money, federal and state, but this is the
10	lowest point I have ever seen the service
11	since 1975 when I've been a part of. I
12	think a lot of that does go back to budget
13	cutbacks, et cetera, but I will give you a
14	few examples, and they are just too many
15	to mention really. An example, your
16	website, I bet I have plugged all kinds of
17	little notes in there, phrases and not one
18	time could the IRS figure what I was
19	talking about. The phone contacts that you
20	talk about with the representatives, my
21	experience lately has been more like two
22	hours. Then we get automated calls, which

1	is really antagonizing. The prompts that
2	they give us, the $1,2,3,4$ numbers, of
3	which area we want the speak to, sometimes
4	they are incorrect. And then sometimes we
5	even end up, after about 45 minutes or so,
6	with a receptionist who then says, "oh,
7	you're not at the right place, but I will
8	connect you." And we wait another 45
9	minutes.
10	So those are some of the problems
11	that we have just getting through it. As
12	you point out, the clients are paying for
13	this, at least to some extent.
14	Another problem we have is that we
15	will call in, and after we wait for about
16	two hours, we will talk and we will say we
17	have some taxpayers to talk about. They
18	say, you get one. Because we know there
19	is someone else waiting to talk to us
20	after you, which makes it just
21	unbelievably impossible.
22	The local IRS office in Asheville, is

1	our example. Those people don't have time
2	to talk to us about regular cases that we
3	are experiencing, because they already
4	have huge backlogs of work themselves. So
5	when you call, like you say, the first
6	thing is, how did you get the number, they
7	know how I got the number because I used
8	to work there. But the thing is that they
9	really don't have time.
10	I asked for a telephone number one
11	time for an attorney in whatever used to
12	be District Counsel Greensboro and it took
13	them two weeks to say, "you know, we
14	really can't give this out. We will have
15	to let them call you."
16	I waited and waited and nothing
17	really happened. These are the type of
18	examples that I get into. And then I
19	question now like I think really the
20	thing that is really wrong with the
21	service is you have too many that are
22	under trained. The ones that are really

1	knowledgeable are probably burned out, and
2	they just are doing the work that other
3	people can't do. So they are just it
4	is just depressing.
5	So anyway, yes, I'm negative, but, I
6	mean, this is the way I see it from my
7	life experience. As you can tell, I have
8	been around a while. I started when I was
9	26 years old with the service. I'm 67
10	now. And this is absolutely the pits.
11	MS. OLSON: From your experience
12	as a revenue officer and a group manager
13	of revenue officers, how are you you
14	mentioned the training. When you're
15	bringing an issue that you know, based on
16	your experience, what would be the best
17	way the best resolution from the
18	government's perspective and the
19	taxpayer's perspective, are you having
20	receptivity? Is there someone there to
21	talk to? Are you stuck with ACS? Where
22	are you with that? What are you finding?

1	AUDIENCE MEMBER LEROY: Well, I
2	just, I find a lot of people just really
3	don't have time to deal I have always
4	been one that thinks beyond the box. There
5	is no thinking beyond the box anymore.
6	There used to be a lot of that. We used
7	to have what we call you've heard about
8	the IRS manual it has gone from this much
9	to as Reagan would put it out there
10	tons of stacks of books, which is
11	terrible. But we used to talk about the
12	spirit of the manual. Now all they want
13	to talk about are little Is and Ts. One
14	part of the manual may say one thing, and
15	another say another. And because it is in
16	a different section, even though it
17	pertains to the same issue, they don't
18	honor it. In other situations, other than
19	the little cubby hole they want to look at
20	it from.
21	REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: I see
22	some people nodding their head with regards

1 to your comment. Here is what I -- you're 2 here locally? LEROY AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes. 3 REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: You're 4 5 retired? LEROY AUDIENCE MEMBER: I wish I 6 7 was. There are lots of people that need my help now. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: 10 Here is what I would offer. I want to say 11 two things. One is Nina and her group are 12 committed to not only making the process more user friendly, but looking outside 13 14 the box. I think some of the things she 15 shared with me is, well, let's look at 16 this and let's look at this. Here is what 17 I would offer is if, some of those recommendations -- you have a unique 18 perspective, in that you were part of the 19 20 IRS as a revenue agent and in management, and now on the outside looking in. Some 21

(866) 488 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2016

of those recommendations could be very

22

100

101

1	easily incorporated, and they may be
2	things that just get overlooked. So I
3	would ask if you send those to me, I will
4	make sure I get them to Nina and
5	Commissioner Koskinen. I have a regular
6	meeting with him. I go to I've been
7	out to visit with some of their employees,
8	some of the greatest employees. I want to
9	say this. It is real easy to be negative.
10	The IRS has some of the most dedicated
11	employees wanting to do what is best on
12	behalf of the American taxpayer and
13	certainly our country. They have been
14	restricted, sometimes financially, by
15	Congress. But sometimes by their own
16	bureaucracy as well.
17	What I'm trying to do is, we drew where
18	is the bureaucratic red tape? Where is the
19	true financial need and resources? And then
20	ultimately how do we fix that so that a
21	four-hour, or a two-hour fold in
22	getting reception is not the norm.

	10.
1	If you're willing to work that goes to
2	all of you. I would offer that if you will
3	send that to us, I promise that we will follow
4	up and make sure that not only Nina and her
5	team has it, but the appropriate people within
6	the IRS. I thank you for speaking up.
7	LEROY AUDIENCE MEMBER: Never
8	been shy about that.
9	REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: I kind
10	of figured that.
11	LEROY AUDIENCE MEMBER: I appreciate
12	the opportunity to be here and hear you folks
13	as well. Greatly appreciated.
14	MS. OLSON: Thank you. Anybody
15	else? Does anyone on the panel want to make
16	any comment?
17	REPRESENTATIVE MEADOWS: I want
18	to make one Obama Care was mentioned
19	and many of you are truly getting to deal
20	the first time with some of the and the
21	only reason I bring it up is my mom called
22	me and said she had a friend at church that

103

1	got this tax bill of \$1500, and, by gosh,
2	you have that public hearing tomorrow
3	night. Will you talk to Nina about this
4	problem. I'm being an obligatory son to
5	bring this up. I wanted to mention as
6	preparers in some of the issues that are
7	out there. With regards to the amount of
8	money that has been paid to an individual
9	taxpayer as support for some of the
LO	premiums, they're now getting these tax
L1	bills that are very high in some respects,
L2	unexpected in others, and one of the other
L3	concerns that I wanted to make sure I
L 4	mentioned to all preparers is we have
L 5	mentioned it to the IRS. We mentioned it
L 6	to CMS. Some of the re-enrollment that
L 7	your tax preparer your taxpayers have
L8	had is they have been reenrolled in another
L 9	plan without their knowledge. And now when
20	that happens, is they potentially could
21	have been getting the correct amount of
22	money coming back. They have been

		10
1	reenrolled without their knowledge in	
2	another plan and they're getting the	
3	incorrect amount. So this may be a shock.	
4	And so do not automatically assume that	
5	they have fudged on their income or	
6	anything else. There is some issues out	
7	there that we are trying to work through	
8	with the IRS to make them and it has to	
9	do with the way CMS is reenrolling people,	
10	versus the subsidy and the way the laws	
11	requires it. I want to make sure, if	
12	you're a preparer, you're aware of that.	
13	The other is with regards to IRS problems.	
14	Not all members of Congress advocate with	
15	Nina and their group and others on IRS, but	
16	our office does that. So if you happen to	
17	be in our congressional district, we want	
18	you to let us know. We will go through and	
19	try to get through some of the red tape.	
20	Obviously we are not going to be preparing	
21	your tax returns for you. This was only if	

(866) 488 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2016

it is a last resort. But we would

		105
1	certainly be willing to help. So I just	
2	want to say thank you, Nina. I will let you	
3	close out. Thank you for coming.	
4	MS. OLSON: Thank you all very	
5	much. I want to thank our panel. I want	
6	to thank our CPA for coming here and all of	
7	you who are CPAs taking up your time in	
8	this very busy season. I have learned a	
9	lot. I have taken lots of notes. There's	
10	a website, just as Congressman Meadows	
11	said, sending information to him. Our	
12	website, which is at	
13	taxpayeradvocate.irs.gov/public-forums. Is	
14	it on our we have a sheet with the site.	
15	There is a sheet with site information.	
16	And we will have the transcript of this	
17	forum up, as well as all the others and the	
18	testimony that's come up so you can read	
19	what people are doing. Next Friday I have	
20	a hearing where I'm on the other side of	
21	the table before the committee that	
22	Chairman Meadows chairs. I will be talking	

	106
1	about what I have learned from you all. So
2	thank you so much for coming. I really
3	appreciate it.
4	(The meeting was adjourned at
5	7:15 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
13	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT
14	COUNTY OF HENDERSON }
15	I, Randi Garcia, RPR and Notary
16	Public in and for the aforesaid county and
17	state, do hereby certify that the foregoing
18	pages are an accurate transcript of the
19	proceedings which were reported by me in
20	machine shorthand and transcribed by
21	computer aided transcription. I further
22	certify that I am not financially

	10 /
1	interested in the outcome of this action, a
2	relative, employee, attorney or counsel of
3	any of the parties, nor am I a relative or
4	employee of such attorney or counsel.
5	This 13th day of April, 2016.
6	
7	<%Signature%>
8	Randi Garcia
9	Registered Professional Reporter
10	
11	