

- I. PREFACE . . . . . v
- II. AREAS OF FOCUS . . . . . 1
  - A. The IRS Harms Taxpayers by Refusing to Issue Refunds to Some Victims of Return Preparer Fraud . . . . . 1
  - B. The Current Limited Oversight of Return Preparers Makes Taxpayers Vulnerable to Unscrupulous or Incompetent Preparers . . . . . 5
  - C. As the IRS Adopts a Specialized Approach to Identity Theft Victim Assistance, Concerns About Complete and Timely Account Resolution Remain . . . . . 8
  - D. Implementation of the IRS’s Return Review Program Is at Extreme Risk, Which Could Cause Significant Harm and Cost . . . . . 17
  - E. Collection Update: The IRS’s Tepid Approach to Implementing Recent Changes in Collection Policies Has Limited Taxpayer Access to Important Collection Options . . . . . 19
  - F. The TAS Collection Case Review Yielded Valuable Insights on How TAS Can Improve Advocacy in Collection Cases . . . . . 26
  - G. TAS Prepares for Implementation of Health Care Provisions . . . . . 29
  - H. The IRS Has Revoked the Exempt Status of Thousands of Organizations in Error, Causing Significant Harm to Taxpayers . . . . . 33
  - I. TAS Works to Ensure Taxpayers Know Their Rights and Obligations . . . . . 34
  - J. IRS Offshore Voluntary Disclosure Programs Continue to Burden “Benign Actors” and Damage IRS Credibility . . . . . 36
  - K. Shared Jurisdiction and Lack of Coordination between IRS and FinCEN Burdens Taxpayers and Undermines Compliance Efforts . . . . . 40
  - L. International Taxpayer Service Initiatives Continue But Need a More Formal Structure . . . . . 42
  - M. IRS ITIN Policy Changes Make Return Filing Difficult and Frustrating. . . . . 44
  - N. Cuts to IRS Tax Forums Mean Lost Opportunities . . . . . 51
- III. FILING SEASON REVIEW . . . . . 54
  - A. Late Legislation Delayed the 2013 Filing Season . . . . . 54
  - B. Miscommunications with e-File Vendors and Tax Preparers Delayed Taxpayers’ Refunds and Caused More Work for IRS Employees . . . . . 55
  - C. Key Measures of Taxpayer Service Levels Fail to Meet Expectations . . . . . 57
  - D. Implementation of Fraud Detection Filters Impacts Taxpayers . . . . . 61
  - E. Faster Return Processing Continued, But Service Interruptions on “Where’s My Refund?” Confused Taxpayers. . . . . 62
  - F. For the Third Filing Season, the IRS Failed to Program Computers to Process Homebuyer Credit Repayments . . . . . 64

<b>IV. TAS RESEARCH INITIATIVES</b> . . . . .	65
A. Earned Income Tax Credit Two-Year Ban Study . . . . .	65
B. Study of Underserved Spanish-Speaking Taxpayers . . . . .	65
C. Study of Factors Impacting Taxpayer Compliance . . . . .	66
D. Automated Substitute for Return (ASFR) Study . . . . .	67
E. Impact of Revenue Officer (RO) Field Presence Study . . . . .	69
F. Determining Whether Accuracy-Related Penalties Improve Future Reporting Compliance of Schedule C Filers . . . . .	70
G. Survey of Low Income Taxpayer Clinic (LITC) Users' Needs . . . . .	71
<b>V. CASE ADVOCACY</b> . . . . .	72
A. The Role of the Taxpayer Advocate Service (TAS). . . . .	72
B. TAS Receipts Trends Show Increase in Economic Burden Cases While Relief Rates Increase Overall . . . . .	73
C. Economic Burden Cases . . . . .	74
D. Systemic Burden Case Trends Result in a Change to Acceptance Criteria . . . . .	76
E. TAS Identifies Significant Trends in Case Receipts . . . . .	78
F. Case Urgency, Complexity, and Difficulty Continue to Grow . . . . .	79
G. TAS Implements Centralized Case Intake Initiative to Streamline Taxpayer Assistance . . . . .	80
H. Virtual Service . . . . .	81
I. TAS Uses Its Statutory and Delegated Authorities to Advocate Effectively in Taxpayer Cases. . . . .	82
K. Analysis of TAOs Issued in Fiscal Year (FY) 2013 . . . . .	83
L. TAOs Involving Account Resolution . . . . .	85
M. TAS Issues TAOs Where IRS Inaction Exacerbates Return Preparer Misconduct . . . . .	86
N. TAS Uses TAOs in Collection Cases. . . . .	87
O. TAOs in Appeals . . . . .	88
P. TAOs to Examination Functions . . . . .	89
Q. TAOs Where IRS Actions Caused Undue Taxpayer Burden . . . . .	90
R. TAS Case Reviews Strengthen TAO Advocacy. . . . .	92
S. TAS Improves Communication with the IRS through the Operations Assistance Request Process . . . . .	92

**VI. SYSTEMIC ADVOCACY . . . . . 95**

- A. Tracking Recommendations Made in the National Taxpayer Advocate’s Annual Reports to Congress. . . . . 95
- B. Systemic Advocacy Piloting New Measures . . . . . 96
- C. TAS Provides Oversight and Support for the Taxpayer Advocacy Panel Program . . . . . 97

**VII. INTEGRATED TAS TECHNOLOGY . . . . . 99**

- A. TAS Is Striving to Bring Its Systems into the 21st Century . . . . . 99
- B. TESIS Will Incorporate Modern Technological Advances That Will Provide Significant Benefits to Taxpayers, Employees, and Partners in Tax Administration. . . . . 99
- C. TESIS Will Improve and Streamline the Acceptance and Assignment of Work. . . . . 100
- D. TESIS Will Improve Online Document Collaboration and Storage. . . . . 101
- E. IRS Information Technology Recommends Entellitrak for the Base of the TESIS Platform. . . 101
- F. TAS and IT Agree Upon TESIS Release One Content and Delivery Expectations. . . . . 102
- G. TAS and IT Establish Separate TESIS Project/Program Management Office (PMO) to Ensure a Smooth and Complete Deployment. . . . . 102
- H. TESIS Functionality Will Change TAS Case Processing Procedures. . . . . 103
- I. TAS Employee and Leadership Participation Ensures Product Satisfaction. . . . . 104
- J. TAS and IT Partners Take Strides Toward Deployment of Release One. . . . . 105
- K. Future Release Content and Delivery. . . . . 106
- L. Project Risks. . . . . 106
- M. Fostering Online Collaboration and Business Process Enhancements via SharePoint 2010. . . 107
- N. Integrated Low Income Taxpayer Clinic Technology: Grant Solutions. . . . . 109

**VIII. ADVANCING A CLIMATE OF ADVOCACY THROUGH NEW APPROACHES TO EDUCATION . . . . . 111**

- A. TESIS Will Require Extensive User Training . . . . . 112

<b>APPENDICES</b> . . . . .	I-1
Appendix I: Evolution of the Office of the Taxpayer Advocate . . . . .	I-1
Appendix II: Taxpayer Advocate Service Case Acceptance Criteria . . . . .	II-1
Appendix III: IRS and TAS Collaborative Efforts . . . . .	III-1
Appendix IV: List of Low Income Taxpayer Clinics . . . . .	IV-1
Appendix V: FY 2014 Taxpayer Advocate Service Operational Priorities . . . . .	V-1
Appendix VI: 2010 TAS Symposium Technical Training Topics . . . . .	V-1
Appendix VII: TAS Performance Measures and Indicators . . . . .	VI-1
Appendix VIII: List of Advocacy Portfolios . . . . .	VII-1
Appendix IX: Glossary of Acronyms . . . . .	VIII-1